FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 1 JOHNSON & PHAM, LLP Christopher D. Johnson, SBN: 222698 E-mail: cjohnson@johnsonpham.com Christopher Q. Pham, SBN: 206697 E-mail: cpham@johnsonpham.com 2 APR 2 4 2014 3 Marcus F. Chaney, SBN: 245227 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 E-mail: mchaney@johnsonpham.com 6355 Topanga Canyon Boulevard, Suite 326 5 Woodland Hills, California 91367 Telephone: (818) 888-7540 Facsimile: (818) 888-7544 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff BEACHBODY, LLC 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 BEACHBODY, LLC, a Delaware CY 1CARCHO 150 ODW. XGX Limited Liability Company, 13 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES: Plaintiff, 14 (1) FEDERAL TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT [15 U.S.C. § 15 1114/Lanham Act §32(a)|; (2) FEDERAL COPYRIGHT DAVID TRIPLETT, an Individual; and DOES 1-10, Inclusive, 16 INFRINGEMENT [17 U.S.C. §501(a)]; (3) FALSE DESIGNATION OF 17 Defendants. ORIGIN/UNFAIR 18 COMPETITION [15 U.S.C. §1125(a)/Lanham Act §43(a)]; (4) TRADEMARK DILUTION [15 19 U.S.C. §1125(c)|; (5) UNFAIR BUSINESS 20 PRACTICES CALIFORNIA BUSINESS & PROFESSIONS 21 CODE §17200]; and (6) UNFAIR COMPETITION 22 |California Common Law| 23 [DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL] 24 25 26 COMES NOW, Plaintiff BEACHBODY, LLC (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), to 27 hereby file its Complaint against Defendant DAVID TRIPLETT, and DOES 1-10, 28 inclusive (collectively "Defendants"). COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff is now, and was at the time of the filing of this Complaint and at all intervening times, a Delaware Limited Liability Company, duly authorized and licensed to conduct business in California, with its principal place of business in Santa Monica, California.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant DAVID TRIPLETT
 is now, and was at the time of the filing of this Complaint and at all intervening
 times, an individual residing in Charleston, Illinois.
- 3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of Defendants herein named as DOES 1-10, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff. Plaintiff therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. When the true names and capacities of said Defendants have been ascertained, Plaintiff will amend this pleading accordingly.
- 4. Plaintiff further alleges that Defendant DAVID TRIPLETT, and DOES 1-10, inclusive, sued herein by fictitious names are jointly, severally and concurrently liable and responsible with the named Defendants upon the causes of action hereinafter set forth.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times mentioned herein Defendant DAVID TRIPLETT, and DOES 1-10, inclusive, and each of them, were the agents, servants and employees of every other Defendant and the acts of each Defendant, as alleged herein, were performed within the course and scope of that agency, service or employment.

JURISDICTIONAL ALLEGATIONS

6. This Court has Federal subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338(a) and (b), in that the case arises out of claims for trademark infringement, false designation of origin, unfair competition and dilution under the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. §1051 et seq.), and copyright infringement under 17 U.S.C. §501(a); and this Court has supplemental jurisdiction

- 7. Venue is proper, *inter alia*, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because on information and belief, a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this judicial district, and has caused damages to Plaintiff in this district.
- 8. Personal jurisdiction exists over Defendants because on information and belief, Defendants conduct business in California and in this judicial district, have purposefully directed action to California and this district, or have otherwise availed themselves of the privileges and protections of the laws of the State of California, such that this Court's assertion of jurisdiction over Defendants does not offend traditional notions of fair play and due process.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

Plaintiff and its Famous BEACHBODY® Fitness Products and Services

- 9. Plaintiff is a health, wellness and fitness company involved in, among other things, the development, production, sale, and distribution of in-home fitness, weight loss, and health products and services.
- 10. One of the main components of Plaintiff's business encompasses the production, sale and distribution of its BEACHBODY® family of fitness DVDs and kits, including its famous FOCUS T25® fitness DVDs and kits. Plaintiff's BEACHBODY®- and FOCUS T25®-branded products and services have achieved great success since their introduction in 1999 and 2013, respectively.
- 11. The success of Plaintiff's BEACHBODY and FOCUS T25 products and services is due in part to Plaintiff's marketing and promotional efforts. These efforts include advertising and promotion through Plaintiff's websites, print and other internet-based advertising, in-person and televised promotional appearances by its trainers, and its infomercials, among other efforts. Plaintiff has spent substantial time, money and effort in building up and developing consumer recognition, awareness and goodwill in its BEACHBODY and FOCUS T25

products, services and marks. For example, Plaintiff has spent close to one hundred million dollars (\$100,000,000.00) to air its television infomercials in each of 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013. On each FOCUS T25 exercise kit, in each infomercial, and in each piece of marketing material for FOCUS T25 products, the BEACHBODY® marks are prominently displayed along with the FOCUS T25 (on the FOCUS T25 products) marks.

- 12. The success of the FOCUS T25 DVDs, kits and other products and services is not due to the Plaintiff's promotional efforts alone. Rather, the popularity of FOCUS T25 is also due to its consumers, and the word of mouth buzz consumers have generated. Success stories of countless individuals who have utilized FOCUS T25 to help achieve their respective fitness goals are far too numerous to recount, but include stories from all types of people ranging from your average men and women in almost all age groups, to well-trained professional athletes and celebrities looking to stay fit and in shape. In fact, a wide array of newspapers, magazines and television networks has featured stories in which prominent celebrities and professional athletes have enthusiastically described their experience and success with the FOCUS T25 kits.
- 13. As a result of Plaintiff's efforts, the quality of Plaintiff's products, and the promotion and word of mouth buzz, the BEACHBODY® and FOCUS T25® marks and the BEACHBODY® and FOCUS T25® DVDs, products, and services have been prominently placed in the minds of the public. Consumers, purchasers and the members of the public have become familiar with the Plaintiff's fitness DVDs and other products and services, and have come to recognize the BEACHBODY and FOCUS T25 marks, products and services and associate them exclusively with Plaintiff Plaintiff has acquired a valuable reputation and goodwill among the public as a result of such association. Indeed, the BEACHBODY and FOCUS T25 marks are famous in the United States.

- 3 4
- 5
- 6 7
- 8
- 9 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25 26
- 27
- 28

- In addition to the above, Plaintiff also owns or otherwise controls copyrights in and related to the FOCUS T25 exercise kits. Plaintiff's rights protect the entirety of the kit as well as the many works therein.
- 15. While Plaintiff has gained significant common law trademark and other rights in its BEACHBODY and FOCUS T25 products and services through its use, advertising and promotion, Plaintiff has also protected its valuable rights by filing for and obtaining federal trademark and copyright registrations.
- Plaintiff owns the following United States Trademark Registrations for the "FOCUS T25" marks: U.S. Reg. Nos. 4404411; and 4412310. These are attached hereto as Exhibits A - B.
- 17. Similarly, Plaintiff owns the following U.S. trademark registrations for its "BEACHBODY" related marks: U.S. Reg. Nos. 2665151; 2853244; 2862904; and 2873866. These are attached hereto as Exhibits C - F.
- Plaintiff also owns several United States Copyright Registrations 18. relating to its BEACHBODY exercise kits, including a copyright application pending before the U.S. Copyright Office for registration of the FOCUS T25 Kit.
- 19. Plaintiff has never authorized or consented to the use of BEACHBODY® or FOCUS T25® or any confusingly similar marks by Defendants; nor has Plaintiff authorized Defendants to manufacture, copy, offe for sale, sell, or distribute any BEACHBODY or FOCUS T25 product.

Defendants' Wrongful and Infringing Conduct

20. Particularly in light of the success of Plaintiff and Plaintiff's products as well as the reputation they have gained, Plaintiff and its products have become targets for unscrupulous individuals and entities who wish to take a free ride on both the goodwill, reputation and fame Plaintiff has spent considerable time, money and effort to build up in its products and marks, and the works embodied in Plaintiff's fitness products.

10 11

13

14

12

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

- A large number of these individuals and entities deal in pirated and 21. counterfeit BEACHBODY®-branded DVDs and other products and services, including the famous BEACHBODY® FOCUS T25® products. Their actions vary and include manufacturing, copying, exporting, importing, advertising, promoting, selling, and distributing counterfeit and otherwise unauthorized products.
- 22. Plaintiff investigates and enforces against such activity, and through such efforts learned of Defendants, and Defendants' actions, advertising, offering for sale, sale, distribution, and infringing conduct.
- Defendants use, amongst other things, the Internet website known as eBay.com ("eBay") to offer for sale, sell, and distribute products, including counterfeit fitness DVDs and kits bearing Plaintiff's BEACHBODY and FOCUS T25 marks to consumers. Defendants operate on eBay under the seller ID "bigdaddydivad1." Through the eBay website, Defendants regularly and systematically advertised, marketed, offered for sale, sold, and distributed counterfeit fitness products bearing Plaintiff's BEACHBODY and FOCUS T25 marks with payment processing through PayPal.com ("PayPal"). Investigation into Defendants' sales activity on eBay evidences hundreds of prior sales of counterfeit BEACHBODY FOCUS T25 exercise kits and DVDs.
- On January 14, 2014, Plaintiff purchased a purported FOCUS T25 exercise kit from Defendants under eBay seller "bigdaddydivad1" as offered for sale by Defendants on eBay for a cost of \$134.99 charged to a PayPal electronic payment account (Transaction ID #7P6657032P005241X). A true and correct copy of the PayPal Transaction Details evidencing this transaction is attached hereto as Exhibit G.
- The FOCUS T25®-branded product purchased from Defendants 25. under eBay seller "bigdaddydivad1" was received by Plaintiff (USPS Priority Mail 2-Day Tracking number 9405 5096 9993 7248 8290 35) and inspected by Plaintiff

to determine authenticity. The inspection of the purchased item confirmed that the item sold and distributed by Defendants under eBay seller ID "bigdaddydivad1" was in fact a counterfeit and unauthorized BEACHBODY FOCUS T25 exercise kit and DVDs.

26. On information and belief, Defendants sold counterfeit BEACHBODY products to numerous buyers residing within the State of California and within the Central District of California, bearing Plaintiff's BEACHBODY and FOCUS T25 marks.

27. By these sales – and, on information and belief, Defendants other dealings in counterfeit product (including importing, advertising, displaying, distributing, selling and/or offering to sell counterfeit and unauthorized product) – Defendants violate Plaintiff's exclusive rights in its copyrighted material, and use images and marks that are confusingly similar to, identical to, and/or constitute counterfeit reproductions of Plaintiff's trademarks to confuse consumers and aid in the promotion and sales of its unauthorized product. Defendants' conduct and use began long after Plaintiff's adoption and use of its BEACHBODY and FOCUS T25 trademarks, after Plaintiff obtained the copyright and trademark registrations alleged above, and after Plaintiff's marks became famous. Indeed, Defendants had knowledge of Plaintiff's ownership of the marks, and of the fame in such marks, prior to the actions alleged herein, and adopted them in bad faith and with an intent to cause confusion among consumers and dilute Plaintiff's marks. Neither Plaintiff nor any authorized agents have consented to Defendants' use of Plaintiff's BEACHBODY or FOCUS T25 trademarks.

12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |

28. Defendants' actions were committed in bad faith and with the intent to dilute Plaintiff's marks, and to cause confusion and mistake, and to deceive the consuming public and the public at large as to the source, sponsorship and/or affiliation of Defendants, and/or Defendants' counterfeit and unauthorized goods. By its wrongful conduct, Defendants have traded upon and diminished Plaintiff's

1 goodwill.

29. In committing these acts, Defendants have, among other things, willfully and in bad faith committed the following, all of which have and will continue to cause irreparable harm to Plaintiff: (i) infringed and diluted Plaintiff's rights in the BEACHBODY and FOCUS T25 marks; (ii) infringed Plaintiff's copyrights; (iii) applied counterfeit marks; (iv) misled the public into believing there is an association or connection between Defendants and Plaintiff and/or the products advertised and sold by Defendants and Plaintiff; (v) used false designations of origin on or in connection with its goods and services; (vi) committed unfair competition; (vii) and unfairly profited from such activity. Unless enjoined, Defendants will continue to cause irreparable harm to Plaintiff.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Infringement of Registered Trademarks Against Defendant DAVID TRIPLETT, and DOES 1-10, Inclusive) [15 U.S.C. §1114/Lanham Act §32(a)]

- Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges every allegation set forth in Paragraphs
 1-29.
- 31. Plaintiff has continuously used its BEACHBODY® and FOCUS T25® marks in interstate commerce since at least as early as 1999, and 2013, respectively.
- 32. Plaintiff, as the owner of all right, title and interest in and to the BEACHBODY and FOCUS T25 marks, has standing to maintain an action for trademark infringement under the Trademark Statute 15 U.S.C. §1114.
- 33. Defendants are and at the time of their actions complained of herein were actually aware that Plaintiff is the registered trademark holder of the BEACHBODY and FOCUS T25 marks. See Exhibits A F.
- 34. Defendants did not and failed to obtain the consent or authorization of Plaintiff as the registered owner of the marks to deal in and commercially

4

5

7

8

9

6

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

24

23

25

26 27

28

111

distribute, market and sell FOCUS T25 fitness DVDs and kits bearing Plaintiff's BEACHBODY and FOCUS T25 marks into the stream of commerce.

- Defendants intentionally and knowingly used in commerce the reproductions, counterfeits, copies, and/ or colorable imitations of Plaintiff's registered marks in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution, or advertising of Defendant's goods by offering, advertising, promoting, retailing, selling, and distributing counterfeit FOCUS T25 fitness DVDs and kits bearing the FOCUS T25 and BEACHBODY marks.
- Defendants reproduced, counterfeited, copied, and colorably imitated Plaintiff's registered BEACHBODY and FOCUS T25 marks and applied such reproductions, counterfeits, copies, or colorable imitations to labels, signs, prints, packages, wrappers, receptacles and/or advertisements intended to be used in commerce upon or in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution, and/or advertising of goods. Defendants thereupon offered, advertised, promoted, retailed, sold, and distributed counterfeit FOCUS T25 fitness DVDs and kits bearing the FOCUS T25 and BEACHBODY marks.
- Defendants' egregious and intentional use and sale of fake, pirated 37. and counterfeit items bearing Plaintiff's trademarks is likely to cause confusion, or to cause mistake, or to deceive, mislead, betray, and defraud consumers who believe that Defendants' items are authentic products manufactured by Plaintiff.
- Defendants' acts have been committed with knowledge of Plaintiff's 38. exclusive rights and goodwill in the marks, as well as with bad faith and the intent to cause confusion or to cause mistake and to deceive.
- Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer substantial and 39. irreparable injury, loss and damage to its rights in and to the BEACHBODY and FOCUS T25 marks and the goodwill associated therewith, for which it has no adequate remedy at law; thus Plaintiff requests injunctive relief.

///

40. Defendants' continued and knowing use of Plaintiff's marks without Plaintiff's consent or authorization constitutes intentional infringement of Plaintiff's federally registered trademarks in violation of Section 32 of the *Lanham Act*, 15 *U.S.C.* §1114. Based on such conduct, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief as well as monetary damages, and other remedies provided by section 1116, 1117, and 1118, including Defendants' profits, treble damages, reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and prejudgment interest.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Federal Copyright Infringement Against Defendant DAVID TRIPLETT, and DOES 1-10, Inclusive)

[17 U.S.C. §501(a)]

- 41. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges every allegation set forth in Paragraphs 1-40.
- 42. Plaintiff is the exclusive owner of copyrights in and related to its BEACHBODY fitness DVDs and kits and possesses copyright registrations with the United States Copyright Office relating to the same. Plaintiff presently has a copyright application pending before the U.S. Copyright Office for copyright registration of the entirety of the FOCUS T25 kit.
- 43. Defendants did not seek and failed to obtain Plaintiff's consent or authorization to utilize, manufacture, reproduce, copy, display, prepare derivative works, distribute, sell, transfer, rent, perform, and/or market Plaintiff's copyright-protected materials.
- 44. Without permission, Defendants intentionally and knowingly reproduced, counterfeited, copied, displayed, and/or manufactured Plaintiff's protected works by offering, advertising, promoting, retailing, selling, distributing counterfeit FOCUS T25 fitness DVDs and kits which are at a minimum substantially similar to Plaintiff's copyright protected works.

28 ||

- 45. Defendants' acts as alleged herein, constitute infringement of Plaintiff's copyright, including Plaintiff's exclusive rights to reproduce, distribute and/or sell such protected material.
- 46. Defendants' knowing and intentional copyright infringement as alleged herein has caused and will continue to cause substantial and irreparable harm to Plaintiff and has and will continue to cause damage to Plaintiff. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to injunctive relief, damages, Defendants' profits, increased damages, and reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(False Designation of Origin & Unfair Competition Against Defendant DAVID TRIPLETT, and DOES 1-10, Inclusive) [15 U.S.C. §1125(a)/Lanham Act §43(a)]

- 47. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges every allegation set forth in Paragraphs 1-46.
- 48. Plaintiff, as the owner of all common law right, title, and interest in and to the BEACHBODY and FOCUS T25 marks, has standing to maintain an action for false designation of origin and unfair competition under the Federal Trademark Statute, Lanham Act section 43(a) (15 U.S.C. §1125). Plaintiff's BEACHBODY and FOCUS T25 marks are inherently distinctive and/or have acquired distinctiveness.
- 49. Defendants have without authorization, on or in connection with its goods and services, used in commerce marks that are confusingly similar to the BEACHBODY and FOCUS T25 marks, and/or have made false designations of origin which are likely to cause confusion or cause mistake or to deceive as to the affiliation, connection or association of Defendants with Plaintiff, and/or as to the origin, sponsorship or approval of Defendants' goods or services or commercial activities.

- 50. Defendants' conduct described above violates the Lanham Act, and Defendants have unfairly competed with and injured and, unless immediately restrained, will continue to injure Plaintiff, causing damage to Plaintiff in an amount to be determined at trial, and will cause irreparable injury to Plaintiff's goodwill and reputation associated with the value of Plaintiff's mark.
- 51. On information and belief, the conduct of Defendants has been knowing, deliberate, willful, intended to cause confusion, or to cause mistake or to deceive and in blatant disregard of Plaintiff's rights.
- 52. Defendants knew or by the exercise of reasonable care should have known that their adoption and commencement of use in commerce and continuing use of marks that are confusingly similar to and constitute a counterfeit reproduction of Plaintiff's marks would cause confusion, mistake, or deception among purchasers, users and the public.
- 53. Defendants' egregious and intentional use and sale of fake, pirated and counterfeit items bearing Plaintiff's trademarks unfairly competes with Plaintiff and is likely to cause confusion, mistake, or to deceive, mislead, betray, and defraud consumers to believe that the substandard imitations are genuine FOCUS T25 DVDs or kits.
- 54. Defendants' continuing and knowing use of Plaintiff's mark constitutes false designation of origin and unfair competition in violation of Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §1125(a), causing Plaintiff to suffer substantial and irreparable injury for which it has no adequate remedy at law.
- 55. Defendants' wrongful conduct has permitted or will permit it to make substantial sales and profits on the strength of Plaintiff's marketing, advertising, sales and consumer recognition. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, as alleged herein, Plaintiff has been and will be deprived of sales of its FOCUS T25 products in an amount as yet unknown but to be determined at trial, and has been deprived and will be deprived of the value of its

marks as commercial assets in an amount as yet unknown but to be determined at trial. Plaintiff seeks an accounting of Defendants' profits, and requests that the Court grant Plaintiff three times that amount in the Court's discretion.

56. Based on Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief as well as monetary damages, and other remedies as provided by the Lanham Act, including Defendants' profits, treble damages, reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and prejudgment interest.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Dilution Against Defendant DAVID TRIPLETT, and DOES 1-10, Inclusive) [15 U.S.C. §1125(c)]

- 57. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges every allegation set forth in Paragraphs 1-56.
- 58. Plaintiff's BEACHBODY® and FOCUS T25® marks are distinctive and famous within the meaning of the Lanham Act.
- 59. Upon information and belief, Defendants' unlawful actions began long after Plaintiff's mark became famous, and Defendants acted knowingly, deliberately and willfully with the intent to trade on Plaintiff's reputation and to dilute Plaintiff's mark. Defendants' conduct is willful, wanton and egregious.
- 60. Defendants' intentional sale of fake, pirated and counterfeit items bearing Plaintiff's marks is likely to cause confusion, mistake, or to deceive, mislead, betray, and defraud consumers to believe that the substandard imitations are genuine FOCUS T25 kits and DVDs. The actions of Defendants complained of herein have diluted and will continue to dilute Plaintiff's marks, and are likely to impair the distinctiveness, strength and value of Plaintiff's marks, and injure the business reputation of Plaintiff and its marks.
- 61. Defendants' acts have caused and will continue to cause Plaintiff irreparable harm. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law to compensate it fully for the damages that have been caused and which will continue to be caused by

Defendants' unlawful acts, unless they are enjoined by this Court.

62. As the acts alleged herein constitute a willful violation of section 43(c) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. section 1125(c), Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief as well as monetary damages and other remedies provided by 15 U.S.C. §§1116, 1117, 1118, and 1125(c), including Defendants' profits, treble damages, reasonable attorney's fees, costs and prejudgment interest.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unlawful, Unfair, Fraudulent Business Practices Against Defendant DAVID TRIPLETT, and DOES 1-10, Inclusive)

[California Business & Professions Code §17200 et seq.]

- 63. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges every allegation set forth in Paragraphs 1-62.
- 64. By marketing, advertising, promoting, selling and/or otherwise dealing in the counterfeit FOCUS T25 products, Defendants have engaged in unfair competition including unlawful, unfair and fraudulent business practices in violation of the California Business and Professions Code §17200 et seq.
- 65. Defendants' marketing, advertising, promoting, selling and/or otherwise dealing in the counterfeit FOCUS T25 products is in violation and derogation of Plaintiff's rights and is likely to cause confusion, mistake and deception among consumers and the public as to the source, origin, sponsorship, or quality of the goods of Defendant, thereby causing loss, damage and injury to Plaintiff and to the purchasing public. Defendants' conduct was intended to cause such loss, damage and injury.
- 66. Defendants knew or by the exercise of reasonable care should have known that their marketing, advertising, promoting, selling and/or otherwise dealing in and their continuing marketing, advertising, promoting, selling and/or otherwise dealing in the counterfeit product would cause confusion mistake or deception among purchasers, users and the public.

8

7

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

111

111

111

26

27

28

- By marketing, advertising, promoting, selling and/or otherwise 67. dealing in and their continuing marketing, advertising, promoting, selling and/or otherwise dealing in counterfeit versions of Plaintiff's marks and products, Defendants intended to and did induce and intends to and will induce customers to purchase its products by trading off the extensive goodwill built up by Plaintiff in its marks.
- 68. Upon information and belief, the conduct of Defendants has been knowing, deliberate, willful, intended to cause confusion, or to cause mistake or to deceive, and in disregard of Plaintiff's rights.
- Defendants' wrongful conduct, as alleged above, has permitted and will permit them to make substantial sales and profits on the strength of Plaintiff's nationwide marketing, advertising, sales and consumer recognition. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, as alleged herein, Plaintiff has been and will be deprived of substantial sales of its products in an amount as yet unknown but to be determined at trial, and has been and will be deprived of the value of its trademarks as commercial assets, in an amount as yet unknown but to be determined at trial. Plaintiff seeks restitution in this matter, including an order granting Defendants' profits stemming from its infringing activity, and its actual and/or compensatory damages.
- Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law for Defendants' continuing violation of its rights set forth above. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief.
- Plaintiff further requests a court order that an asset freeze or 71. constructive trust be imposed over all monies in Defendants' possession which rightfully belong to Plaintiff.

(Unfair Competition Against Defendant DAVID TRIPLETT, and DOES 1-10,

Inclusive)

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

[California Common Law]

- 72. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges every allegation set forth in Paragraphs 1-71.
- By marketing, advertising, promoting, selling and/or otherwise 73. dealing in the counterfeit FOCUS T25 products, Defendants have engaged in unfair competition including unlawful, unfair and fraudulent business practices in violation of the common law of the State of California.
- Defendants' marketing, advertising, promoting, selling and/or otherwise dealing in the counterfeit FOCUS T25 products is in violation and derogation of Plaintiff's rights and is likely to cause confusion, mistake and deception among consumers and the public as to the source, origin, sponsorship, or quality of the goods of Defendant, thereby causing loss, damage and injury to Plaintiff and to the purchasing public. Defendants' conduct was intended to cause such loss, damage and injury.
- Defendants knew or by the exercise of reasonable care should have known that their marketing, advertising, promoting, selling and/or otherwise dealing in and their continuing marketing, advertising, promoting, selling and/or otherwise dealing in the counterfeit product would cause confusion mistake or deception among purchasers, users and the public.
- By marketing, advertising, promoting, selling and/or otherwise 76. dealing in and their continuing marketing, advertising, promoting, selling and/or otherwise dealing in counterfeit versions of Plaintiff's marks and products, Defendants intended to and did induce and intends to and will induce customers to purchase its products by trading off the extensive goodwill built up by Plaintiff in its marks.

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

- 3
- 5
- 7

- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

- Upon information and belief, the conduct of Defendants has been 77. knowing, deliberate, willful, intended to cause confusion, or to cause mistake or to deceive, and in disregard of Plaintiff's rights.
- 78. Defendants' wrongful conduct, as alleged above, has permitted and will permit them to make substantial sales and profits on the strength of Plaintiff's nationwide marketing, advertising, sales and consumer recognition. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, as alleged herein, Plaintiff has been and will be deprived of substantial sales of its products in an amount as yet unknown but to be determined at trial, and has been and will be deprived of the value of its trademarks as commercial assets, in an amount as yet unknown but to be determined at trial. Plaintiff seeks an order granting Defendants' profits stemming from its infringing activity, and its actual and/or compensatory damages.
- 79. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law for Defendants' continuing violation of its rights set forth above. Plaintiff seeks preliminary and permanent injunctive relief.
- Plaintiff seeks exemplary or punitive damages for Defendants' 80. intentional misconduct.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff BEACHBODY, LLC, prays for judgment against Defendant DAVID TRIPLETT, and DOES 1-10, inclusive, and each of them, as follows:

- A. For an award of Defendants' profits and Plaintiff's damages in an amount to be proven at trial for trademark infringement under 15 U.S.C. §1114(a);
- B. For an award of Defendants' profits and Plaintiff's damages in an amount to be proven at trial for copyright infringement under 17 U.S.C. §501(a);
- C. For an award of Defendants' profits and Plaintiff's damages in an amount to be proven at trial for false designation of origin and unfair competition under 15 U.S.C. §1125(a);

- D. For an award of Defendants' profits and Plaintiff's damages in an amount to be proven at trial for trademark dilution under 15 U.S.C. §1125(c);
- E. In the alternative to actual damages and Defendants' profits for the infringement and counterfeiting of Plaintiff's trademarks pursuant to the Lanham Act, for statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1117(c), which election Plaintiff will make prior to the rendering of final judgment;
- F. In the alternative to actual damages and Defendants' profits pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §504(b), for statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §504(c), which election Plaintiff will make prior to the rendering of final judgment;
- G. For restitution in an amount to be proven at trial for unfair, fraudulent and illegal business practices under *Business and Professions Code* §17200;
- H. For damages to be proven at trial for common law unfair competition;
- I. For an injunction by this Court prohibiting Defendants from engaging or continuing to engage in the unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent business acts or practices described herein, including the advertising and/or dealing in any counterfeit product; the unauthorized use of any mark, copyright or other intellectual property right of Plaintiff; acts of trademark infringement or dilution; acts of copyright infringement; false designation of origin; unfair competition; and any other act in derogation of Plaintiff's rights;
- J. For an order from the Court requiring that Defendants provide complete accountings and for equitable relief, including that Defendants disgorge and return or pay their ill-gotten gains obtained from the illegal transactions entered into and or pay restitution, including the amount of monies that should have been paid if Defendants complied with their

Exhibit A

Anited States of America United States Patent and Trademark Office

FOCUS T25

Reg. No. 4,404,411

BEACHBODY, LLC (DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY)

THIRD FLOOR 3301 EXPOSITION BLVD.

Registered Sep. 17, 2013 SANTA MONICA, CA 90404

Int. Cls.: 9 and 41

FOR: PRE-RECORDED VIDEO CASSETTES, CDS AND DVDS FEATURING EXERCISE, FITNESS AND DIETARY INFORMATION AND INSTRUCTION, AND RELATED WRITTEN MATERIALS, ALL SOLD AS A UNIT, IN CLASS 9 (U.S. CLS. 21, 23, 26, 36 AND 38).

TRADEMARK

SERVICE MARK

FIRST USE 3-25-2013; IN COMMERCE 6-24-2013.

PRINCIPAL REGISTER

FOR: PROVIDING A WEB SITE FEATURING ON-LINE INSTRUCTION IN THE FIELD OF PHYSICAL EXERCISE AND NUTRITION AND ALSO FOR TRACKING PROGRESS OF WORKOUTS; EDUCATIONAL SERVICES AND ON-LINE EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, NAMELY, PROVIDING INSTRUCTION IN THE FIELDS OF EXERCISE EQUIPMENT, PHYSICAL EXERCISE AND NUTRITION, AND INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS DISTRIB-UTED IN CONNECTION THEREWITH; PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING SERVICES, NAMELY, PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING SERVICES FEATURING THE TRACKING PROGRESS OF WORKOUTS FOR OTHERS; ENTERTAINMENT SERVICES, NAMELY, CONDUCTING CONTESTS; EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, NAMELY, PROVIDING WEB-BASED, CLASSROOMAND OTHER TRAINING IN THE FIELD OF EXERCISE EQUIPMENT, PHYSICAL FITNESS, DIET AND NUTRITIONAL PROGRAMS FOR CERTIFICATION OF AND CONTINUING EDUCATION FOR INSTRUCTORS AND DISTRIBUTION OF TRAINING MATERIAL IN CONNECTION THEREWITH; EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, NAMELY, CONDUCTING CLASSES, SEMINARS, CONFERENCES, WORKSHOPS, AND FIELD TRIPS IN THE FIELD OF EXERCISE EQUIPMENT, DIET AND NUTRITIONAL PROGRAMS, AND SALES TECHNIQUES AND DISTRIBUTION OF TRAINING MATERIAL IN CONNECTION THEREWITH; MEMBERSHIP CLUB SERVICES, NAMELY, PROVIDING TRAINING TO MEMBERS IN THE FIELD OF EXERCISE EQUIPMENT, DIET AND NUTRITIONAL PRO-GRAMS, AND SALES TECHNIQUES; EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, NAMELY, OFFERING OF ASSESSMENTS AND SURVEYS IN THE FIELD OF EDUCATOR TRAINING AND PER-FORMANCE FOR THE PURPOSE OF IMPROVING TEACHING PROCEDURES; PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING SERVICES AND CONSULTANCY, PROVIDING INFORMATION IN THE FIELD OF EXERCISE TRAINING, IN CLASS 41 (U.S. CLS. 100, 101 AND 107).



FIRST USE 3-25-2013; IN COMMERCE 6-24-2013.

 $Reg.\ No.\ 4,404,411\ \ \text{THE MARK CONSISTS OF STANDARD CHARACTERS WITHOUT CLAIM TO ANY PARTICULAR FONT, STYLE, SIZE, OR COLOR.}$

SN 85-655,160, FILED 6-19-2012.

ZHALEH DELANEY, EXAMINING ATTORNEY

REQUIREMENTS TO MAINTAIN YOUR FEDERAL TRADEMARK REGISTRATION

WARNING: YOUR REGISTRATION WILL BE CANCELLED IF YOU DO NOT FILE THE DOCUMENTS BELOW DURING THE SPECIFIED TIME PERIODS.

Requirements in the First Ten Years* What and When to File:

First Filing Deadline: You must file a Declaration of Use (or Excusable Nonuse) between the 5th and 6th years after the registration date. See 15 U.S.C. §§1058, 1141k. If the declaration is accepted, the registration will continue in force for the remainder of the ten-year period, calculated from the registration date, unless cancelled by an order of the Commissioner for Trademarks or a federal court.

Second Filing Deadline: You must file a Declaration of Use (or Excusable Nonuse) and an Application for Renewal between the 9th and 10th years after the registration date.*

See 15 U.S.C. §1059.

Requirements in Successive Ten-Year Periods* What and When to File:

You must file a Declaration of Use (or Excusable Nonuse) and an Application for Renewal between every 9th and 10th-year period, calculated from the registration date.*

Grace Period Filings*

The above documents will be accepted as timely if filed within six months after the deadlines listed above with the payment of an additional fee.

The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) will NOT send you any future notice or reminder of these filing requirements.

*ATTENTION MADRID PROTOCOL REGISTRANTS: The holder of an international registration with an extension of protection to the United States under the Madrid Protocol must timely file the Declarations of Use (or Excusable Nomuse) referenced above directly with the USPTO. The time periods for filing are based on the U.S. registration date (not the international registration date). The deadlines and grace periods for the Declarations of Use (or Excusable Nonuse) are identical to those for nationally issued registrations. See 15 U.S.C. §§1058, 1141k. However, owners of international registrations do not file renewal applications at the USPTO. Instead, the holder must file a renewal of the underlying international registration at the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, under Article 7 of the Madrid Protocol, before the expiration of each ten-year term of protection, calculated from the date of the international registration. See 15 U.S.C. §1141j. For more information and renewal forms for the international registration, see http://www.wipo.int/madrid/en/.

NOTE: Fees and requirements for maintaining registrations are subject to change. Please check the USPTO website for further information. With the exception of renewal applications for registered extensions of protection, you can file the registration maintenance documents referenced above online at http://www.uspto.gov.

Page: 3 / RN # 4,404,411

Exhibit B

United States of America United States Patent and Trademark Office



BEACHBODY, LLC (DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY)

Reg. No. 4,412,310

Registered Oct. 1, 2013

Int. Cls.: 9 and 41

TRADEMARK

SERVICE MARK

PRINCIPAL REGISTER

MATERIALS, ALL SOLD AS A UNIT, IN CLASS 9 (U.S. CLS. 21, 23, 26, 36 AND 38).

THIRD FLOOR

3301 EXPOSITION BLVD. SANTA MONICA, CA 90404

FIRST USE 3-25-2013; IN COMMERCE 6-24-2013.

FOR: PROVIDING A WEB SITE FEATURING ON-LINE INSTRUCTION IN THE FIELD OF PHYSICAL EXERCISE AND NUTRITION AND ALSO FOR TRACKING PROGRESS OF WORKOUTS; EDUCATIONAL SERVICES AND ON-LINE EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, NAMELY, PROVIDING INSTRUCTION IN THE FIELDS OF EXERCISE EQUIPMENT, PHYSICAL EXERCISE AND NUTRITION, AND INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS DISTRIB-UTED IN CONNECTION THEREWITH; PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING SERVICES, NAMELY, PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING SERVICES FEATURING THE TRACKING PROGRESS OF WORKOUTS FOR OTHERS; ENTERTAINMENT SERVICES, NAMELY, CONDUCTING CONTESTS; EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, NAMELY, PROVIDING WEB-BASED, CLASSROOM AND OTHER TRAINING IN THE FIELD OF EXERCISE EQUIPMENT, PHYSICAL FITNESS, DIET AND NUTRITIONAL PROGRAMS FOR CERTIFICATION OF AND CONTINUING EDUCATION FOR INSTRUCTORS AND DISTRIBUTION OF TRAINING MATERIAL IN CONNECTION THEREWITH; EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, NAMELY, CONDUCTING CLASSES, SEMINARS, CONFERENCES, WORKSHOPS, AND FIELD TRIPS IN THE FIELD OF EXERCISE EQUIPMENT, DIET AND NUTRITIONAL PROGRAMS, AND SALES TECHNIQUES AND DISTRIBUTION OF TRAINING MATERIAL IN CONNECTION THEREWITH; MEMBERSHIP CLUB SERVICES, NAMELY, PROVIDING TRAINING TO MEMBERS IN THE FIELD OF EXERCISE EQUIPMENT, DIET AND NUTRITIONAL PRO-GRAMS, AND SALES TECHNIQUES; EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, NAMELY, OFFERING OF ASSESSMENTS AND SURVEYS IN THE FIELD OF EDUCATOR TRAINING AND PER-FORMANCE FOR THE PURPOSE OF IMPROVING TEACHING PROCEDURES; PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING SERVICES AND CONSULTANCY; PROVIDING INFORMATION IN THE FIELD OF EXERCISE TRAINING, IN CLASS 41 (U.S. CLS. 100, 101 AND 107).

FOR: PRE-RECORDED VIDEO CASSETTES, CDS AND DVDS FEATURING EXERCISE, FITNESS AND DIETARY INFORMATION AND INSTRUCTION, AND RELATED WRITTEN



red States Patent and Trademark Office FIRST USE 3-25-2013; IN COMMERCE 6-24-2013.

 $Reg.\ No.\ 4,412,310$ the mark consists of capital t with the word focus in the top of the t with 25 to the right of the t.

SN 85-858,869, FILED 2-25-2013.

MICHAEL SOUDERS, EXAMINING ATTORNEY

Page: 2 / RN # 4,412,310

REQUIREMENTS TO MAINTAIN YOUR FEDERAL TRADEMARK REGISTRATION

WARNING: YOUR REGISTRATION WILL BE CANCELLED IF YOU DO NOT FILE THE DOCUMENTS BELOW DURING THE SPECIFIED TIME PERIODS.

Requirements in the First Ten Years* What and When to File:

First Filing Deadline: You must file a Declaration of Use (or Excusable Nonuse) between the 5th and 6th years after the registration date. See 15 U.S.C. §§1058, 1141k. If the declaration is accepted, the registration will continue in force for the remainder of the ten-year period, calculated from the registration date, unless cancelled by an order of the Commissioner for Trademarks or a federal court.

Second Filing Deadline: You must file a Declaration of Use (or Excusable Nonuse) and an Application for Renewal between the 9th and 10th years after the registration date.*

See 15 U.S.C. §1059.

Requirements in Successive Ten-Year Periods* What and When to File:

You must file a Declaration of Use (or Excusable Nonuse) and an Application for Renewal between every 9th and 10th-year period, calculated from the registration date.*

Grace Period Filings*

The above documents will be accepted as timely if filed within six months after the deadlines listed above with the payment of an additional fee.

The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) will NOT send you any future notice or reminder of these filing requirements.

*ATTENTION MADRID PROTOCOL REGISTRANTS: The holder of an international registration with an extension of protection to the United States under the Madrid Protocol must timely file the Declarations of Use (or Excusable Nonuse) referenced above directly with the USPTO. The time periods for filing are based on the U.S. registration date (not the international registration date). The deadlines and grace periods for the Declarations of Use (or Excusable Nonuse) are identical to those for nationally issued registrations. See 15 U.S.C. §§1058, 1141k. However, owners of international registrations do not file renewal applications at the USPTO. Instead, the holder must file a renewal of the underlying international registration at the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, under Article 7 of the Madrid Protocol, before the expiration of each ten-year term of protection, calculated from the date of the international registration. See 15 U.S.C. §1141j. For more information and renewal forms for the international registration, see http://www.wipo.int/madrid/en/.

NOTE: Fees and requirements for maintaining registrations are subject to change. Please check the USPTO website for further information. With the exception of renewal applications for registered extensions of protection, you can file the registration maintenance documents referenced above online at http://www.uspto.gov.

Page: 3 / RN # 4,412,310

Exhibit C

Int. Cls.: 5, 9 and 41

Prior U.S. Cls.: 6, 18, 21, 23, 26, 36, 38, 44, 46, 51, 52, 100, 101 and 107

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Reg. No. 2,665,151 Registered Dec. 24, 2002

TRADEMARK SERVICE MARK PRINCIPAL REGISTER

BEACH BODY

PRODUCT PARTNERS, LLC (CALIFORNIA LIM-ITED LIABILITY COMPANY) 3340 OCEAN PARKWAY BLVD., SUITE 3040 SANTA MONICA, CA 90405

FOR: DIETARY SUPPLEMENTS AND DIET AIDS, NAMELY VITAMINS AND MINERAL SUPPLE-MENTS, IN CLASS 5 (U.S. CLS. 6, 18, 44, 46, 51 AND 52).

FIRST USE 5-10-1999; IN COMMERCE 5-10-1999.

FOR: PRE-RECORED VIDEO CASSETTES FEATURING EXERCISE, FITNESS AND DIETARY INFORMATION AND INSTRUCTION, IN CLASS 9 (U.S. CLS. 21, 23, 26, 36 AND 38).

FIRST USE 5-10-1999; IN COMMERCE 5-10-1999.

FOR: EDUCATIONAL SERVICE, NAMELY INSTRUCTION IN THE USE OF EXERCISE EQUIPMENT AND DIET PROGRAMS, IN CLASS 41 (U.S. CLS. 100, 101 AND 107).

FIRST USE 5-10-1999; IN COMMERCE 5-10-1999.

SER. NO. 76-046,578, FILED 3-17-2000.

JEFF DEFORD, EXAMINING ATTORNEY

Exhibit D

Int. Cls.: 5, 9 and 41

Prior U.S. Cls.: 6, 18, 21, 23, 26, 36, 38, 44, 46, 51, 52,

100, 101 and 107

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Reg. No. 2,853,244 Registered June 15, 2004

TRADEMARK SERVICE MARK PRINCIPAL REGISTER



PRODUCT PARTNERS, LLC (CALIFORNIA LIM-ITED LIABILITY CORPORATION) 8383 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SUITE 1050 BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90211

FOR: MEAL REPLACEMENT PROTEIN BARS, DIETARY SUPPLEMENTS AND DIET AIDS, NAMELY VITAMINS AND MINERAL SUPPLEMENTS, IN CLASS 5 (U.S. CLS. 6, 18, 44, 46, 51 AND 52).

FIRST USE 6-0-2003; IN COMMERCE 6-0-2003.

FOR: PRE-RECORDED VIDEO TAPES, DVDS, AND CDS, FEATURING EXERCISE, FITNESS

AND DIETARY INFORMATION AND INSTRUCTION, IN CLASS 9 (U.S. CLS. 21, 23, 26, 36 AND 38).

FIRST USE 6-0-2003; IN COMMERCE 6-0-2003.

FOR: EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, NAMELY INSTRUCTION IN THE FIELDS OF EXERCISE EQUIPMENT AND DIET PROGRAMS, IN CLASS 41 (U.S. CLS. 100, 101 AND 107).

FIRST USE 6-0-2003; IN COMMERCE 6-0-2003.

SER. NO. 76-529,751, FILED 7-14-2003.

MARC LEIPZIG, EXAMINING ATTORNEY

Exhibit E

Int. Cls.: 5, 9 and 41

Prior U.S. Cls.: 6, 18, 21, 23, 26, 36, 38, 44, 46, 51, 52,

100, 101 and 107

Reg. No. 2,862,904

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Registered July 13, 2004

TRADEMARK SERVICE MARK PRINCIPAL REGISTER

BEACHBODY

PRODUCT PARTNERS, LLC (CALIFORNIA LIM-ITED LIABILITY CORPORATION) 8383 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SUITE 1050 BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90211

FOR: MEAL REPLACEMENT PROTEIN BARS, DIETARY SUPPLEMENTS AND DIET AIDS, NAMELY VITAMINS AND MINERAL SUPPLEMENTS, IN CLASS 5 (U.S. CLS. 6, 18, 44, 46, 51 AND 52).

FIRST USE 5-10-1999; IN COMMERCE 5-10-1999.

FOR: PRE-RECORDED VIDEO TAPES, CAS-SETTES, DVDS, AND CDS, FEATURING EXERCISE, FITNESS AND DIETARY INFORMATION AND INSTRUCTION, IN CLASS 9 (U.S. CLS. 21, 23, 26, 36 AND 38). FIRST USE 5-10-1999; IN COMMERCE 5-10-1999.

FOR: EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, NAMELY INSTRUCTION IN THE FIELDS OF EXERCISE EQUIPMENT, FITNESS EXERCISE, AND DIET AND NUTRITION PROGRAMS, IN CLASS 41 (U.S. CLS. 100, 101 AND 107).

FIRST USE 5-10-1999; IN COMMERCE 5-10-1999.

OWNER OF U.S. REG. NO. 2,665,151.

SER. NO. 78-280,327, FILED 7-29-2003.

MARC LEIPZIG, EXAMINING ATTORNEY

Exhibit F

Int. Cls.: 5, 9 and 41

Prior U.S. Cls.: 6, 18, 21, 23, 26, 36, 38, 44, 46, 51, 52,

100, 101 and 107

Reg. No. 2,873,866

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Registered Aug. 17, 2004

TRADEMARK SERVICE MARK PRINCIPAL REGISTER



PRODUCT PARTNERS, LLC (CALIFORNIA LIM-ITED LIABILITY CORPORATION) 8383 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 1050 BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90211

FOR: MEAL REPLACEMENT PROTEIN BARS, DIETARY SUPPLEMENTS AND DIET AIDS, NAMELY VITAMINS AND MINERAL SUPPLEMENTS, IN CLASS 5 (U.S. CLS. 6, 18, 44, 46, 51 AND 52).

FIRST USE 6-0-2003; IN COMMERCE 6-0-2003.

FOR: PRE-RECORDED VIDEO TAPES, DVDS, AND CDS, FEATURING EXERCISE, FITNESS AND DIETARY INFORMATION AND INSTRUCTION, IN CLASS 9 (U.S. CLS. 21, 23, 26, 36 AND 38).

FIRST USE 6-0-2003; IN COMMERCE 6-0-2003.

FOR: EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, NAMELY INSTRUCTION IN THE FIELDS OF EXERCISE EQUIPMENT AND DIET PROGRAMS, IN CLASS 41 (U.S. CLS. 100, 101 AND 107).

FIRST USE 6-0-2003; IN COMMERCE 6-0-2003.

OWNER OF U.S. REG. NO. 2,665,151.

SER. NO. 76-529,764, FILED 7-14-2003.

MARC LEIPZIG, EXAMINING ATTORNEY

Exhibit G

Transaction Details

Express Checkout Payment Sent (Unique Transaction ID #7P6657032P005241X)

Original Transa	action			
Date	Туре	Status	Details	Amount
Jan 14, 2014	Payment To David Triplett	Completed		-\$134.99 USD

Related Transa	action			
Date	Туре	Status	Details	Amount
Jan 14, 2014	Add Funds from a Bank Account	Completed	<u>Details</u>	\$134.99 USD

Shopping Cart Contents

Price **Options** Qty Item

Shaun T T25 Alpha Beta & Gamma Workouts \$134.99 USD Complete Full Set T 25 Weight Loss DVDs Item # 221354352505

> Amount \$134.99 USD

Order Description: Shopping Cart

Item Total: \$134.99 USD

Sales Tax:

Shipping: \$0.00 USD

Seller discount or charges: \$0.00 USD

Total amount: -\$134.99 USD Fee amount: \$0.00 USD Net amount: -\$134.99 USD Date: Jan 14, 2014

Time: 17:42:36 PST Status: Completed

Insurance: \$0.00 USD

Shipping Address: See Jay

22647 Ventura Blvd

#1007

Woodland Hills, CA 91364-1416

United States Confirmed 2

Payment To: David Triplett (The recipient of this payment is Verified)

Seller's ID: bigdaddydivad1

Seller's Email: meetdtrip@gmail.com Funding Type: Instant Transfer Funding Source: \$134.99 USD - J.P. MORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A. Checking (Confirmed) x-2785 Back Up Funding Source: MasterCard Credit Card XXXX-XXXX-XXXX-4844 Description: Shopping Cart

Need help? If you have problems with an eBay transaction or want help settling a dispute with an eBay seller, go to the <u>eBay Resolution Center</u>. PayPal and eBay strongly recommend that you attempt to resolve issues directly with the seller whenever possible.

Return to My Account

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES JUDGES

This case has been assigned	ed to District Judge	Ot	is D. Wright II	and to					
Magistrate Judge	Jay C. Gandhi								
The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:									
2:14-cv-03150 ODW-JCGx									
California, the assigned I	er 05-07 of the United States Magistrate Judge has been de related motions should be not	signat	ed to hear discovery-rela	ted					
		Cle	erk, U. S. District Court						
April 24, 2014 Date		Ву	SBOURGEOIS Deputy Clerk						

ATTENTION

A copy of this Notice must be served on all parties served with the Summons and Complaint (or, in cases removed from state court, on all parties served with the Notice of Removal) by the party who filed the Complaint (or Notice of Removal).

United States District Court

for the

Central District of California

BEACHBODY, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company,)))
Plaintiff(s) V.) Civil Action No.
DAVID TRIPLETT, an Individual; and DOES 1-10, Inclusive,	CV14-3150 OOW-JCGx
Defendant(s)))

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) David Triplett
2114 Rosewood Ct.
Charleston, IL 61920-3710

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,

whose name and address are: Marcus F. Chaney, Esq.

Marcus F. Chaney, Esq. Johnson & Pham, LLP

6355 Topanga Canyon Blvd., Suite 326

Woodland Hills, California 91367

Tel: (818) 888-7540 Fax: (818) 888-7544

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

APR 2 4 2014
Date:

Signature of Clerk of Darkury Gerk



AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

This summons for	(name of individual and title, if ar	ועי)	
received by me on (dat	re)		
☐ I personally ser	ved the summons on the ind	ividual at <i>(place)</i>	
		on (data)	
		ence or usual place of abode with (name)	
	,	a person of suitable age and discretion who	resides there,
		copy to the individual's last known address;	or
☐ I served the sun	nmons on (name of individual)		, who is
designated by law	to accept service of process	s on behalf of (name of organization)	
		on (date)	; or
☐ I returned the s	ummons unexecuted because	e	; or
☐ Other (specify):			
My fees are \$	for travel and S	for services, for a total of	\$0.00
I declare under per	nalty of perjury that this info	ormation is true.	
:		Server's signature	
		30,10, 23,8,111111	
	-	Printed name and title	
	-	Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS (Che	ck box if you are repre	senting yourself 🔲)	DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS (Check box if you are representing yourself)				
BEACHBODY, LLC, a Delaware	e Limited Liability Compa	nny	DAVID TRIPLETT, an	DAVID TRIPLETT, an Individual				
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plain	tiff Los Angeles	County of Reside	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Coles				
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASE	ES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	SES ONLY)				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, representing yourself, progresserting yourself, progresserting yourself, progresserting yourself, progresserting yourself, progresserting yourself, woodland Hills, California 91 Tel: (818) 888-7540	vide the same informa Suite 326			Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number) If you are representing yourself, provide the same information.				
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	TION (Place an X in o	ne box only.)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PE	RINCIPAL PARTIES-For D	iversity Cases Only			
1. U.S. Government Plaintiff	3. Federal Qu Government	: Not a Party)		of Business in this State				
2. U.S. Government Defendant	4. Diversity (I of Parties in I		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 G Sasiness in A	6 <u></u> 6			
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X	in one box only.)			6	Multi-			
V	Removed from State Court	3. Remanded from Appellate Court		ansferred from Another	District tigation			
V. REQUESTED IN COM	IPLAINT: JURY DE	MAND: X Yes] No (Check "Yes" o	nly if demanded in comp	olaint.)			
CLASS ACTION under	F.R.Cv.P. 23:	Yes 🗙 No	MONEY DEMA	NDED IN COMPLAINT:	\$			
			ing and write a brief stateme	nt of cause. Do not cite jurisdic	ctional statutes unless diversity.)			
			3	ngement (17 U.S.C. section 501				
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an V in one he	av only)	Management of the Control of the Con					
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT		. IMMIGRATION	PRISONER PETITIONS	PROPERTY RIGHTS			
F. STATISHAMISH MACINITIAN CHILDREN FOR	110 Insurance	REAL PROPERTY CONT	462 Naturalization	Habeas Corpus:	820 Copyrights			
375 False Claims Act 400 State	☐ 120 Marine	245 Tort Product	Application	463 Alien Detainee	830 Patent			
Reapportionment	130 Miller Act	Liability 290 All Other Real	465 Other Immigration Actions	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	840 Trademark			
410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking	140 Negotiable	Property	TORTS	530 General	SOCIAL SECURITY			
430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC	Instrument	TORTS PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL PROPERTY	535 Death Penalty	861 HIA (1395ff)			
Rates/Etc.	150 Recovery of Overpayment &	ment & 310 Airplane	370 Other Fraud	Other:	862 Black Lung (923)			
460 Deportation	Enforcement of Judgment	315 Airplane	371 Truth in Lending		863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g))			
470 Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Org.	151 Medicare Act	Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	380 Other Personal Property Damage	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	864 SSID Title XVI			
480 Consumer Credit	152 Recovery of	Slander 330 Fed. Employers	. 385 Property Damage		865 RSI (405 (g))			
490 Cable/Sat TV	Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Vet.)	Liability	Product Liability BANKRUPTCY	Conditions of Confinement	FEDERAL TAX SUITS			
850 Securities/Com-	153 Recovery of	340 Marine	— 422 Appeal 28	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)			
modities/Exchange	Overpayment of Vet. Benefits	345 Marine Product	USC 158	625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21	871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 7609			
890 Other Statutory Actions	160 Stockholders'	350 Motor Vehicle	USC 157	USC 881	, 003			
891 Agricultural Acts	Suits	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	CIVIL RIGHTS	690 Other				
893 Environmental Matters	190 Other Contract	360 Other Personal		LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards				
B95 Freedom of Info.	195 Contract	Injury 362 Personal Injury	441 Voting 442 Employment	Act 720 Labor/Mgmt.				
896 Arbitration	Product Liability 196 Franchise	Med Malpratice 365 Personal Injury	443 Housing/	Relations				
	REAL PROPERTY	Product Liability	Accomodations 445 American with	740 Railway Labor Act				
899 Admin. Procedures Act/Review of Appeal of		367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical	Disabilities-	751 Family and Medical				
Agency Decision	Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	Personal Injury Product Liability	Employment 446 American with	790 Other Labor				
950 Constitutionality of	230 Rent Lease &	368 Asbestos	Disabilities-Other	Litigation 791 Employee Ret. Inc.				
State Statutes	Ejectment	Personal Injury Product Liability	448 Education	☐ Security Act				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Case Numbe	sr.		CV1A	-3150			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII. VENUE: Your answers to the questions below will determine the division of the Court to which this case will most likely be initially assigned. This initial assignment is subject to change, in accordance with the Court's General Orders, upon review by the Court of your Complaint or Notice of Removal.

Question A: Was this case removed from state court?			STATE CASE WAS PENDING IN THE COUNTY OF:				INITIAL DIVISION IN CACD IS;			
Yes 🗷 No		Los Angeles					Western			
If "no, " go to Question B. If "yes," check the box to the right that applies, enter the			☐ Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo					Western		
corresponding division in response to		_ o	range				Southern			
Question D, below, and skip to Section	n IX.	Ri	verside or San Bernardino				Eastern			
Question B: Is the United States, or its agencies or employees, a party to		f If the United States, or one of its agencies or employees, is a party, is it:								
action?		A PLAINTIFF?			A DEFENDANT?		INITIAL DIVISION IN CACD IS:		N IN	
∐ Yes 🗷 No		Then check the box below for the coun which the majority of DEFENDANTS re				check the box below for the co lich the majority of PLAINTIFFS	county in			
If "no, " go to Question C. If "yes," chec			os Angeles		Los	Angeles		Western		
box to the right that applies, enter the corresponding division in response to			entura, Santa Barbara, or San bispo	Luis		ntura, Santa Barbara, or San ispo	Luis Western		ern	
Question D, below, and skip to Section	n IX.	_ o	range		Ora	ange		Southe	ern	
		Ri	verside or San Bernardino		Riv	erside or San Bernardino	Eastern		rn ,	
		Other			Other		Western		ern	
Question C: Location of plaintiffs, defendants, and claims? (Make only one selection per row)	A. Los An Cour	geles	B. Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Counties	C Orange	SECTION AND VALUE OF	D. Riverside or San Bernardino Counties		E. de the Central ct of California	F. Other	
Indicate the location in which a majority of plaintiffs reside:	×						515771000000000000			
Indicate the location in which a majority of defendants reside:] -:]		×			
Indicate the location in which a majority of claims arose:	×									
		and the					America 7			
C.1. Is either of the following true?	If so, ch	eck th	e one that applies:	C.2. Is	either o	f the following true? If so,	check the	one that applies:		
2 or more answers in Colum	nn C				2 or r	nore answers in Column D				
only 1 answer in Column C	and no a	answer	s in Column D	only 1 answer in Column D and no answers in Column C						
Your case will init SOUTHE Enter "Southern" in res	ERN DIVI	SION.		Your case will initially be assigned to the EASTERN DIVISION. Enter "Eastern" in response to Question D, below.						
If none applies, answ	er quest	ion C2	to the right.	If none applies, go to the box below.						
	M from a company and a company and a company			TERN DIVI	SION.					
			Enter "Western" in r	esponse t	o Questi	on D below.				
Question D: Initial Division?		P66 (264,671)		15 (C) 17 (C)	A Superior of	INITIAL DIVIC	IONINGA	CD.		
Enter the initial division determined by Question A, B, or C above:			INITIAL DIVISION IN CACD							
Lines the mittal division determined by Question A, b, of Cabove.			Western							

CV-71 (11/13) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

IX(a). IDENTICAL CAS	ES : Has this act	tion been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed? 💢 NO 🔲 🕥	YES
If yes, list case numb	per(s):		
IX(b). RELATED CASES	S : Have any case	es been previously filed i n this court that are related to the present case?	YES
If yes, list case numb	per(s):		
Civil cases are deemed r	elated if a previo	ously filed case and the present case:	
(Check all boxes that appl	ly) A. Arise f	from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or	
	B. Call for	or determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or	
	C. For oth	ther reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or	
	D. Involv	ve the same patent, trademark or copyright <u>, and</u> one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.	
X. SIGNATURE OF AT		DATE: April 23, 2014	
other papers as required by	law. This form, app	Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleas proved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1984, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is r prose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions	not filed
		200	
Key to Statistical codes relati	ing to Social Securi	rity Cases:	,
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action	
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. A include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the progra (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))	
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (3 923)	30 U.S.C.
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amerall claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))	nded; plus
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))	Act, as
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Securamended.	urity Act, a
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended.	